The Limited Power of Powering Polynomial Identity Testing and a Depth-four Lower Bound for the Permanent

Bruno Grenet

ÉNS Lyon

Pascal Koiran

ÉNS Lyon

Natacha Portier

Yann Strozecki

ÉNS Lyon

U. Paris Sud XI

Séminaire CLI June 5, 2012

Representation of Univariate Polynomials

$$P(X) = X^{10} + 5X^6 + 3X^2 + 1$$

Representations

- ► Dense: [1,0,0,0,5,0,0,0,3,0,1]
- Sparse: $\{(10, 1), (6, 5), (2, 3), (0, 1)\}$

Representation of Multivariate Polynomials

$$P(x, y, z) = x^5 y^3 z^2 + 5xy^4 z + 3yz + 1$$

Representations

- ▶ Dense: [1, ..., 5, ..., 3, ..., 1]
- ► Sparse: $\{(5; 3; 2, 1), (1; 4; 1, 5), (0; 1; 1, 3), (0, 1)\}$

→ Dense representation no longer relevant!

Representation of Multivariate Polynomials

$$P(x, y, z) = x^5 y^3 z^2 + 5xy^4 z + 3yz + 1$$

Representations

- ► Dense: [1, ..., 5, ..., 3, ..., 1]
- ► Sparse: {(5; 3; 2, 1), (1; 4; 1, 5), (0; 1; 1, 3), (0, 1)}
- Dense representation no longer relevant!
 Sparse representation not always relevant either.

Representation of Multivariate Polynomials

$$P(x, y, z) = x^5 y^3 z^2 + 5xy^4 z + 3yz + 1$$

Representations

- Dense: [1, ..., 5, ..., 3, ..., 1]
- ► Sparse: $\{(5; 3; 2, 1), (1; 4; 1, 5), (0; 1; 1, 3), (0, 1)\}$
- Dense representation no longer relevant!
 Sparse representation not always relevant either.
 Supersparse (lacunary) representation and circuits.

$Q(x, y, z) = x^{4} + 4x^{3}y + 6x^{2}y^{2} + 4xy^{3} + x^{2}z + 2xyz$ $+ y^{2}z + x^{2} + y^{4} + 2xy + y^{2} + z^{2} + 2z + 1$

$Q(x, y, z) = (x + y)^4 + (z + 1)^2 + (x + y)^2(z + 1)$

$Q(x, y, z) = (x + y)^4 + (z + 1)^2 + (x + y)^2(z + 1)$



$Q(x, y, z) = (x + y)^4 + (z + 1)^2 + (x + y)^2(z + 1)$



~ Straight Line Programs

Complexity of a polynomial = size of its smallest circuit

► Which polynomials have low/high complexity?

- ► Which polynomials have low/high complexity?
 - Polynomial complexity: Determinant

$$\det ((x_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$$

- ► Which polynomials have low/high complexity?
 - Polynomial complexity: Determinant
 - ► Non-polynomial complexity: Permanent?

per
$$((x_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$$

- Which polynomials have low/high complexity?
 - Polynomial complexity: Determinant
 - "Algebraic P vs NP" Non-polynomial complexity: Permanent?

per
$$((x_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$$

Complexity of a polynomial = size of its smallest circuit

- Which polynomials have low/high complexity?
 - Polynomial complexity: Determinant
 - "Algebraic P vs NP" Non-polynomial complexity: Permanent?

Conjecture (Algebraic $P \neq NP$) <u>The complexity of the permanent is super-polynomial.</u>

per
$$((x_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$$

Complexity of a polynomial = size of its smallest circuit

- ► Which polynomials have low/high complexity?
 - Polynomial complexity: Determinant
 - ► Non-polynomial complexity: Permanent?

Conjecture (Algebraic $P \neq NP$)

The complexity of the permanent is super-polynomial.

(Boolean) Complexity of problems on circuits

"Algebraic P vs NP"

Complexity of a polynomial = size of its smallest circuit

- Which polynomials have low/high complexity?
 - Polynomial complexity: Determinant
 - "Algebraic P vs NP" ► Non-polynomial complexity: Permanent?

Conjecture (Algebraic $P \neq NP$)

The complexity of the permanent is super-polynomial.

- (Boolean) Complexity of problems on circuits
 - Polynomial Identity Testing : Is the polynomial identically zero?

Complexity of a polynomial = size of its smallest circuit

- Which polynomials have low/high complexity?
 - Polynomial complexity: Determinant
 - "Algebraic P vs NP" ► Non-polynomial complexity: Permanent?

Conjecture (Algebraic $P \neq NP$)

The complexity of the permanent is super-polynomial.

(Boolean) Complexity of problems on circuits

- Polynomial Identity Testing : Is the polynomial identically zero?
- Roots finding, factorization, ...

Theorem (Schwartz'80, Zippel'79, DeMillo-Lipton'78)

Let P be a non zero polynomial with n variables of total degree D, if $x_1, ..., x_n$ are randomly chosen in a set of integers S of size $\frac{D}{\epsilon}$ then the probability that $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ is bounded by ϵ .

Theorem (Schwartz'80, Zippel'79, DeMillo-Lipton'78)

Let P be a non zero polynomial with n variables of total degree D, if $x_1, ..., x_n$ are randomly chosen in a set of integers S of size $\frac{D}{\epsilon}$ then the probability that $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ is bounded by ϵ .

black-box : cannot be derandomized

Theorem (Schwartz'80, Zippel'79, DeMillo-Lipton'78)

Let P be a non zero polynomial with n variables of total degree D, if $x_1, ..., x_n$ are randomly chosen in a set of integers S of size $\frac{D}{\epsilon}$ then the probability that $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ is bounded by ϵ .

- black-box : cannot be derandomized
- derandomization for circuits : open question

Theorem (Schwartz'80, Zippel'79, DeMillo-Lipton'78)

Let P be a non zero polynomial with n variables of total degree D, if $x_1, ..., x_n$ are randomly chosen in a set of integers S of size $\frac{D}{\epsilon}$ then the probability that $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ is bounded by ϵ .

- black-box : cannot be derandomized
- derandomization for circuits : open question
- circuits of depth 4 are the "general case"

Theorem (Schwartz'80, Zippel'79, DeMillo-Lipton'78)

Let P be a non zero polynomial with n variables of total degree D, if $x_1, ..., x_n$ are randomly chosen in a set of integers S of size $\frac{D}{\epsilon}$ then the probability that $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ is bounded by ϵ .

- black-box : cannot be derandomized
- derandomization for circuits : open question
- circuits of depth 4 are the "general case"

Theorem (Schwartz'80, Zippel'79, DeMillo-Lipton'78)

Let P be a non zero polynomial with n variables of total degree D, if $x_1, ..., x_n$ are randomly chosen in a set of integers S of size $\frac{D}{\epsilon}$ then the probability that $P(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ is bounded by ϵ .

- black-box : cannot be derandomized
- derandomization for circuits : open question
- circuits of depth 4 are the "general case"

The τ -conjecture

Conjecture (Shub & Smale, 1995) For any $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of complexity $\tau(f)$, $\#\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : f(n) = 0\} \le \operatorname{poly}(\tau(f)).$

The τ -conjecture

Conjecture (Shub & Smale, 1995) For any $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of complexity $\tau(f)$, $\#\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : f(n) = 0\} \le \operatorname{poly}(\tau(f)).$

Theorem (Bürgisser, 2006)

 τ -conjecture

 \implies super-polynomial lower bound for the permanent

Definition Let SPS(k, m, t, A) the class of polynomials $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$ where the $f_j \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ are t-sparse and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} \le A$.

Definition Let SPS(k, m, t, A) the class of polynomials $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$ where the $f_j \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ are *t*-sparse and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} \le A$.

• Descartes' rule of signs: t-sparse $\implies \leq 2t - 1$ real roots

Definition Let SPS(k, m, t, A) the class of polynomials $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$ where the $f_j \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ are *t*-sparse and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} \le A$.

- Descartes' rule of signs: t-sparse $\implies \leq 2t-1$ real roots
- $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_j}$: at most 2m(t-1) + 1 real roots

DefinitionLet SPS(k, m, t, A) the class of polynomials $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$ where the $f_j \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ are t-sparse and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} \le A$.

- Descartes' rule of signs: t-sparse $\implies \leq 2t 1$ real roots
- $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_j}$: at most 2m(t-1) + 1 real roots
- f is $(k \times t^{mA})$ -sparse

Definition Let SPS(k, m, t, A) the class of polynomials $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$ where the $f_j \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ are t-sparse and $0 \le \alpha_{ij} \le A$.

- Descartes' rule of signs: t-sparse $\implies \leq 2t-1$ real roots
- $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_j}$: at most 2m(t-1) + 1 real roots
- f is $(k \times t^{mA})$ -sparse
- ► Known techniques: 2^{O((kmt)²)}

[Khovanskii'80, Risler'85]

The real τ -conjecture

Conjecture (Koiran, 2011)

Let $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$, then

 $\#\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = 0\} \le \operatorname{poly}(k, m, t, A)$

The real τ -conjecture

Conjecture (Koiran, 2011)

Let $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$, then

 $\#\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = 0\} \le \operatorname{poly}(\overline{k, m, t, A})$

Theorem (Koiran, 2011)

Real τ -conjecture

 \implies Super-polynomial lower bound for the permanent

The real τ -conjecture

Conjecture (Koiran, 2011)

Let $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$, then

 $\#\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = 0\} \leq \operatorname{poly}(k, m, t, A)$

Theorem (Koiran, 2011)

Real τ -conjecture

 \implies Super-polynomial lower bound for the permanent

- 1. Upper bound on # real roots of $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$
- 2. Lower bound for the permanent
- 3. Polynomial Identity Testing for SPS-like circuits

Upper bound for the number of real roots of SPS polynomials

Theorem

There exists C > 0 such that the number of real roots of any $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_{j}^{\alpha_{ij}} \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$ is at most

$$C \cdot \left[e \cdot \left(1 + \frac{t^m}{2^{k-1} - 1} \right) \right]^{2^{k-1} - 1}$$

Upper bound for the number of real roots of SPS polynomials

Theorem

There exists C > 0 such that the number of real roots of any $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_{j}^{\alpha_{ij}} \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$ is at most $C \cdot \left[e \cdot \left(1 + \frac{t^{m}}{2^{k-1} - 1} \right) \right]^{2^{k-1} - 1}.$

Upper bound for the number of real roots of SPS polynomials

Theorem

There exists C > 0 such that the number of real roots of any $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j^{\alpha_{ij}} \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$ is at most

$$C \cdot \left[e \cdot \left(1 + \frac{t^m}{2^{k-1} - 1} \right) \right]^{2^{k-1} - 1}$$

- ► Independent of A.
- If k and m are fixed, this is polynomial in t.

Case k = 2

Proposition

The polynomial

$$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{lpha_j} + \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{eta_j}$$

has at most $2mt^m + 4m(t-1)$ real roots.

Case k = 2

Proposition

The polynomial

$$f=\prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{lpha_j}+\prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{eta_j}$$

has at most $2mt^m + 4m(t-1)$ real roots.

Proof sketch. Let $F = f / \prod_j f_j^{\alpha_j} = 1 + \prod_j f_j^{\beta_j - \alpha_j}$.

Case k = 2

Proposition

The polynomial

$$\mathcal{F} = \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{lpha_j} + \prod_{j=1}^m f_j^{eta_j}$$

has at most $2mt^m + 4m(t-1)$ real roots.

Proof sketch. Let $F = f / \prod_j f_j^{\alpha_j} = 1 + \prod_j f_j^{\beta_j - \alpha_j}$. Then

$$F' = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ \leq 2m(t-1) \text{ roots and poles}}}^{m} f_j^{\beta_j - \alpha_j - 1} \times \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ \leq 2mt^m - 1 \text{ roots}}}^{m} (\beta_j - \alpha_j) f_j' \prod_{l \neq j} f_l.$$

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

$$f(X)/\prod_j f_j^{lpha_{1j}} = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(X)^{lpha_{ij}-lpha_{1,j}}$$

• Reduce the number of terms from k to k - 1:

$$f'(X) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} g_i(X) \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

$$f(X)/\prod_j f_j^{lpha_{1j}} = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(X)^{lpha_{ij}-lpha_{1j}}$$

• Problem : after derivation, polynomials appears in front of $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$

$$f'(X) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} g_i(X) \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

$$f(X)/\prod_j f_j^{lpha_{1j}}=1+\sum_{i=2}^k\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(X)^{lpha_{ij}-lpha_{1j}}$$

- Problem : after derivation, polynomials appears in front of $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$
- Solution :

$$f'(X) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} g_i(X) \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

$$f(X)/\prod_j f_j^{lpha_{1j}}=1+\sum_{i=2}^k\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(X)^{lpha_{ij}-lpha_{1j}}$$

- Problem : after derivation, polynomials appears in front of $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$
- Solution :
 - Control their sparsity : $((m+2)t^m)^{2^{k-1}-1}$

$$f'(X) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} g_i(X) \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

$$f(X)/\prod_j f_j^{lpha_{1j}}=1+\sum_{i=2}^k\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(X)^{lpha_{ij}-lpha_{1j}}$$

- ▶ Problem : after derivation, polynomials appears in front of $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$
- Solution :
 - Control their sparsity : $((m+2)t^m)^{2^{k-1}-1}$
 - Do not overcount $\rightsquigarrow \left(\frac{t^m}{2^k}\right)^{2^{k-1}-1}$

$$f'(X) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} g_i(X) \prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$$

$$f(X)/\prod_j f_j^{lpha_{1j}}=1+\sum_{i=2}^k\prod_{j=1}^m f_j(X)^{lpha_{ij}-lpha_{1j}}$$

- ▶ Problem : after derivation, polynomials appears in front of $\prod_{j=1}^{m} f_j(X)^{\alpha_{ij}}$
- ► Solution :
 - Control their sparsity : $((m+2)t^m)^{2^{k-1}-1}$
 - Do not overcount $\rightsquigarrow (\frac{t^m}{2^k})^{2^{k-1}-1}$
 - Be clever : Pacal Koiran, Sébastien Tavenas and the wonderful Wronskian (coming next week).

The permanent family

$$\mathsf{PER}_n(x_{11},\ldots,x_{nn}) = \mathsf{per}\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$$

The permanent family

$$\mathsf{PER}_n(x_{11},\ldots,x_{nn}) = \mathsf{per}\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$$

Conjecture (Algebraic $P \neq NP$)

 $n \mapsto \tau(\mathsf{PER}_n)$ grows faster than any polynomial function.

The permanent family

$$\mathsf{PER}_n(x_{11},\ldots,x_{nn}) = \mathsf{per}\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$$

Conjecture (Algebraic $P \neq NP$) $n \mapsto \tau(PER_n)$ grows faster than any polynomial function.

 The conjecture for depth-4 circuits implies the general case [Agrawal-Vinay'08, Koiran'11]

Definition

 $(P_n)_{n\geq 0}\in \mathsf{mSPS}(k,m)$ if

$$P_n(x_1,...,x_{Q(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_{j,n}^{\alpha_{ij,n}}(\vec{x})$$

•
$$f_{j,n}$$
 is $Q(n)$ -sparse;

Definition

 $(P_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathsf{mSPS}(k,m)$ if there exists a polynomial Q s.t.

$$P_n(x_1,...,x_{Q(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_{j,n}^{\alpha_{ij,n}}(\vec{x})$$

- bitsize($\alpha_{ij,n}$) $\leq Q(n)$;
- $f_{j,n}$ is Q(n)-sparse;
- $f_{j,n}$ has complexity at most Q(n).

Definition

 $(P_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathsf{mSPS}(k,m)$ if there exists a polynomial Q s.t.

$$P_n(x_1,...,x_{Q(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_{j,n}^{\alpha_{ij,n}}(\vec{x})$$

- bitsize($\alpha_{ij,n}$) $\leq Q(n)$;
- $f_{j,n}$ is Q(n)-sparse;
- ▶ $f_{j,n}$ has complexity at most Q(n). GRH is assumed.

Definition

 $(P_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathsf{mSPS}(k,m)$ if there exists a polynomial Q s.t.

$$P_n(x_1,...,x_{Q(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_{j,n}^{\alpha_{ij,n}}(\vec{x})$$

- bitsize($\alpha_{ij,n}$) $\leq Q(n)$;
- $f_{j,n}$ is Q(n)-sparse;
- $f_{j,n}$ has complexity at most Q(n).

Definition

 $(P_n)_{n\geq 0} \in \mathsf{mSPS}(k,m)$ if there exists a polynomial Q s.t.

$$P_n(x_1,...,x_{Q(n)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m f_{j,n}^{\alpha_{ij,n}}(\vec{x})$$

- bitsize $(\alpha_{ij,n}) \leq Q(n)$;
- $f_{j,n}$ is Q(n)-sparse;
- $f_{j,n}$ has complexity at most Q(n).
- exponential-size depth-4 circuits
- polynomial-size circuits with polynomial-depth

Lower bound for the permanent

Theorem

For any fixed k and m, (PER_n) does not have mSPS(k, m) circuits.

Lower bound for the permanent

Theorem

For any fixed k and m, (PER_n) does not have mSPS(k, m) circuits.

Proof sketch. (PER_n) \in mSPS(k, m)

$$\implies \tau((\mathsf{PER}_n)) \le \mathsf{poly}(n)$$

$$\implies \mathsf{PW}_n(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} (X-i) \in \mathsf{SPS}(k, m, \mathsf{poly}(n), 2^{\mathsf{poly}(n)})$$

Lower bound for the permanent

Theorem

For any fixed k and m, (PER_n) does not have mSPS(k, m) circuits.

Proof sketch. (PER_n) \in mSPS(k, m)

$$\implies \tau((\mathsf{PER}_n)) \le \mathsf{poly}(n)$$

$$\implies \mathsf{PW}_n(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{2^n} (X-i) \in \mathsf{SPS}(k, m, \mathsf{poly}(n), 2^{\mathsf{poly}(n)})$$

But PW_n has 2^n roots: contradiction.

Theorem

For fixed k and m, we can test for zero $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$ in time polynomial in t and A.

Theorem

For fixed k and m, we can test for zero $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$ in time polynomial in t and A.

Proof sketch.

• Reduce the number of terms in the sum to 1.

Theorem

For fixed k and m, we can test for zero $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$ in time polynomial in t and A.

Proof sketch.

- Reduce the number of terms in the sum to 1.
- At each step, check if the monomial of larger degree vanishes.

Theorem

For fixed k and m, we can test for zero $f \in SPS(k, m, t, A)$ in time polynomial in t and A.

Proof sketch.

- Reduce the number of terms in the sum to 1.
- At each step, check if the monomial of larger degree vanishes.
- Compute the last term explicitly and check if it is zero.

A better PIT ?

Open Problem

What is the complexity to decide wether $\sum_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m a_{ij}^{lpha_{ij}}\equiv 0$?

Special case of SPS(k, m, 1, A) with only polynomials of degree 0.

A better PIT ?

Open Problem

What is the complexity to decide wether $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}} \equiv 0$?

Special case of SPS(k, m, 1, A) with only polynomials of degree 0.

Proposition

With an oracle testing for zero $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}}$, PIT algorithm in time polynomial in t and bitsize(A).

A better PIT ?

Open Problem

What is the complexity to decide wether $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}} \equiv 0$?

Special case of SPS(k, m, 1, A) with only polynomials of degree 0.

Proposition

With an oracle testing for zero $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}}$, PIT algorithm in time polynomial in t and bitsize(A).

Remark. Works with mSPS polynomials by Kronecker substitution : $X_i \mapsto X^{(d+1)^i}$.

• First result toward the real τ -conjecture

- First result toward the real τ -conjecture
- ► Implementation of Koiran's Theorem in a particular case

- First result toward the real τ -conjecture
- Implementation of Koiran's Theorem in a particular case
- Links with Polynomial Identity Testing

- First result toward the real τ -conjecture
- Implementation of Koiran's Theorem in a particular case
- Links with Polynomial Identity Testing
- ► Update: Agrawal *et al.*, STOC 2012.

- First result toward the real τ -conjecture
- Implementation of Koiran's Theorem in a particular case
- Links with Polynomial Identity Testing
- ► Update: Agrawal *et al.*, STOC 2012.

Open Problem

Let f, g be t-sparse polynomials. \rightsquigarrow What is the maximum number of real roots of fg + 1?

- First result toward the real τ -conjecture
- Implementation of Koiran's Theorem in a particular case
- Links with Polynomial Identity Testing
- ► Update: Agrawal *et al.*, STOC 2012.

Open Problem

Let f, g be t-sparse polynomials. \rightsquigarrow What is the maximum number of real roots of fg + 1?

$$4t-3 \leq \max_{f,g} \#\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x)g(x)+1=0\} \leq 2t^2$$

- First result toward the real τ -conjecture
- Implementation of Koiran's Theorem in a particular case
- Links with Polynomial Identity Testing
- ► Update: Agrawal *et al.*, STOC 2012.

Open Problem

Let f, g be t-sparse polynomials. \rightsquigarrow What is the maximum number of real roots of fg + 1?

$$4t - 3 \le \max_{f,g} \#\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x)g(x) + 1 = 0\} \le 2t^2$$

Full version: arXiv:1107.1434